



DNA sampling from eggshells and microsatellite genotyping in rare tropical birds: Case study on Brazilian Merganser

Thais Augusta Maia¹, Sibelle Torres Vilaça², Luciana Resende da Silva³, Fabricio Rodrigues Santos³ and Gisele Pires de Mendonça Dantas¹

¹*Laboratório de Ecologia e Evolução de Aves, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia de Vertebrados, Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.*

²*Department Evolutionary Genetics, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, and Berlin Center for Genomics in Biodiversity Research, Berlin, Germany.*

³*Laboratório de Biodiversidade e Evolução Molecular, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.*

Abstract

This study shows that sampling maternal DNA from hatched and abandoned eggshells is a viable noninvasive strategy for studying the genetics of rare or endangered tropical birds, as exemplified here by the Brazilian Merganser (*Mergus octosetaceus*). Eighteen microsatellites were isolated from enriched libraries and nine heterologous loci from related species were tested. Seven loci were amplified successfully, with five of them being polymorphic. These loci exhibited amplicons ranging from 110 to 254 bp for 132 samples, with 60 from eggshells and 72 from blood or muscle samples. The number of alleles for *M. octosetaceus* ranged from one to six (mean = 3.71), which is low compared to *M. merganser* (1-15 alleles), a 'least concern' species. Genetic diversity did not differ significantly between noninvasive and invasive samples ($Z(u) = 0.31$, $p = 0.37$). Thus, noninvasive sampling, as demonstrated here with eggshells, provides an efficient means to assess genetic diversity in tropical birds without the need to capture and handle them.

Keywords: DNA, noninvasive samples, genetic diversity, endangered birds, *Mergus*.

Received: October 31, 2016; Accepted: August 4, 2017.

Noninvasive DNA sampling has become an important method for collecting valuable genetic data for the management and conservation of rare and endangered species (Waits and Paetkau, 2005; Beja-Pereira *et al.*, 2009; Egloff *et al.*, 2009). For birds, the use of eggshells and feathers are good sources of biological samples (Pearce *et al.*, 1997; Bush *et al.*, 2005; Egloff *et al.*, 2009). However, noninvasive sampling from tropical species is particularly challenging due to several environmental factors, including high temperatures, precipitation and UV radiation, which can promote DNA degradation (Brinkman *et al.*, 2010; Vynne *et al.*, 2012; Wulsch *et al.*, 2014).

Some studies have used microsatellites for accessing genetic diversity from noninvasive samples (Presti *et al.*, 2013; Moodley *et al.*, 2015), due to the fact that these markers are widely distributed in the genome, have high levels of polymorphism, and are easy to detect using PCR (Hoshino *et al.*, 2012). Thus, microsatellites have been frequently

used to monitor biodiversity, evaluate paternity and to attribute individuals to populations (Beebee and Rowe, 2008). Furthermore, primers developed in one species may be used in related taxa (Barbará *et al.*, 2007; Santos *et al.*, 2012). Nonetheless the success of cross-species amplification of microsatellites depends on conservation of flanking regions, the polymorphism level, the phylogenetic relatedness among the species involved, with success being higher in closely related species (Barbará *et al.*, 2007; Presti *et al.*, 2013; Moodley *et al.*, 2015). In this context, the use of noninvasive samples and polymorphic markers, such as microsatellites, can help to monitor the genetics of rare and endangered species.

The Brazilian Merganser (*Mergus octosetaceus*) is considered critically endangered, with a global population estimated to be less than 250 individuals, distributed among three or four disjointed populations located in protected areas of Brazil (BirdLife International, 2015). Until the moment, only one genetic study was conducted with this species, where two mitochondrial lineages were observed, with high divergence and low variability within each (Vilaça *et al.*, 2012). Thus, questions were raised about the ge-

netic diversity and evolutionary history of the Brazilian Merganser.

The present study aims to: 1) test a noninvasive method of sampling avian DNA from abandoned eggs and hatched eggshells, 2) develop new microsatellite markers for the Brazilian Merganser through sequencing of enriched libraries, and 3) test cross-amplification using previously described primers for other species of Anseriformes.

In total, 132 Brazilian Merganser samples were collected in the Serra da Canastra National Park over the course of eight years (2005–2013), including 59 blood tissue samples from captured individuals, 13 muscle samples from dead individuals, and 60 noninvasive samples from eggshells (membrane and shells) of abandoned or hatched eggs in nests. The nests were monitored through the entire study period, adults were ringed and only one eggshell of each nest was used, trying to avoid resampling. The length of time that the eggshell was exposed to environmental conditions could not be determined. All samples were georeferenced, kept in plastic bags, and stored in a refrigerator for subsequent analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted from eggshell (shell and intern membrane), blood and muscle samples using the protocol described in Sambrook *et al.* (2001). They were treated with lysis solution (TrisHCl 0.1 M, pH 8.0; EDTA 0.5 M; SDS 0.2%; NaCl 1 M) containing proteinase K, overnight at 37 °C, followed one wash with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and one wash with chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1), precipitation with isopropanol 100% and ethanol 70%. The DNA concentration of all samples was quantified spectrophotometrically using NanoDrop ND-1000 (Nanodrop, USA).

Microsatellite enriched libraries were constructed following the methodology described in Billotte *et al.* (1999), using streptavidin magnetic-coated beads (Promega, Madison, WI). DNA (~5 µg) extracted from one blood sample was digested with the enzyme *RsaI* to create an appropriately sized genomic library (400–1000 bp). The digested fragments were ligated to the adaptors RSA21 (5' CTCTTGCTTACGCGTGGACTA 3') and RSA25 (5' TAGTCCACGCGTAAGCAAGAGCACA 3'). The DNA library was enriched for dinucleotide sequences using (CT)₈ and (GT)₈ biotinylated probes that were bound to streptavidin magnetic-coated beads (Streptavidin MagneSphere Paramagnetic Particles, Promega). Selected fragments were amplified by PCR using primer sequences complementary to the RSA21 adapter and then cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega). Competent XL1-blue *E. coli* cells were transformed with the recombinant plasmids and cultivated on agar medium containing ampicillin and 100 µg/mL of X-galactosidase. Single white colonies were transferred onto microplates for long-term storage at -80 °C. Ninety-six clones containing an insert were sequenced using a MEGABACE 1000 automated sequencer (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Microsatellites were identified

using the Simple Sequence Repeat Identification Tool (Temnykh *et al.*, 2001).

Primers were designed for 18 loci containing microsatellites using Primer3Plus software (Untergasser *et al.*, 2007). An M13 tail was added to the forward primer of each primer pair to allow fluorescent labeling during amplification reactions (Schuelke, 2000). In addition, we tested the cross-amplification of additional microsatellite loci using four primer pairs developed for *M. merganser* (Gautschi and Koller, 2005), four primer pairs developed for *Anas platyrhynchos* (Maak *et al.*, 2003), and one primer pair developed for Anatidae (Buchholz *et al.*, 1998) (Supplementary material Table S1).

PCR amplifications were performed in a 10 µL reaction volume containing 25 ng of genomic DNA, 0.7 µM forward primer, 8 µM reverse primer, 8 µM M13tag marked with HEX or FAM, 250 µM dNTPs, 0.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (Lifetech Carlsbad, EUA) and 1X reaction buffer (20 mM TrisCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) with a final concentration of 2.5 mM MgCl₂. We used a touchdown PCR program of 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s, reducing 0.5 °C per cycle, and 72 °C for 1 min. The next 20 cycles were 94 °C for 30 s, 48 °C per 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min. The last cycle was followed by a 30 min extension step at 72 °C. Two parameters were used to consider unsuccessful amplifications after several attempts at standardization: a locus did not amplify, or the locus showed unspecific bands. We tested each microsatellite locus with different PCR conditions that varied in concentrations of MgCl₂ (1.0 mM to 5.0 mM), temperature gradient, and DNA concentration. The amplicons were diluted, mixed with internal size standard Rox500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), run on an ABI3130 instrument (Applied Biosystems), and analyzed using GeneMapper (Applied Biosystems). Genotyping of the samples was performed at least twice to confirm the amplicons. When the sample showed same genotype in two independent runs we considered this to be the correct genotyping. When the sample showed distinct genotypes in two first runs we did another run (third run) and determined the genotype by consensus. The consensus decision was done by eye, and the error rate was very low (< 2%), with the genotyping confirmed either by the second or third run.

MICRO-CHECKER was used to evaluate dropout and null alleles in the invasive and noninvasive samples (Van Oosterhout *et al.*, 2004). Loci linkage was tested in GenePop (Raymond and Rousset, 1995). Allelic richness, expected and observed heterozygosity, exact tests for departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and coefficients of inbreeding (FIS) were determined using GeneA1Ex v6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012).

DNA was successfully extracted from eggshells exposed to environmental conditions before sampling, in spite of the DNA concentration of noninvasive samples being lower (~30 ng/µl) than that of invasive samples (> 300

Table 1 - Comparison of invasive and noninvasive samples for microsatellites with successful amplification in the Brazilian Merganser: N: number of samples; Na: number of alleles; H_o: observed heterozygosity; H_e: expected heterozygosity; HWE, FIS, FIS: Inbreeding coefficient; HWE test p-value < 0.05 was represented in bold. (1) loci developed in this study (2) locus from Maak *et al.* (2003); sequence of M13 tail: TTTTCCCAGTCACG.

Loci	Primers	Repeat motif	Range alleles	Noninvasive Samples						Invasive samples					
				Null alleles	N	Na	Ho	He	HWE p-value	N	Na	Ho	He	HWE p-value	FIS
MOCC3 ¹	CAGGGCAACAAAAATCAGGTTTC	(TG) ₁₀	218-22 0	0.07	45	2	0.244	0.401	< 0.01	28	2	0.429	0.459	0.69	0.223
	CCAAAGTGAGAAACAAAAACC														
MOC4 ¹	CGCGCTAGCTGTAAGGCTCAT	(AC) ₈	176-20 6	0.32	45	6	0.089	0.495	< 0.01	41	4	0.049	0.224	< 0.01	0.809
	CCAGAAAAAGCCTGTGTGGT														
MOCH3 ¹	CAGCTGCAGTCTGTGGGAGAT	(CA) ₂ TG(CA) ₇	234-25 4	0.00	46	3	0.022	0.104	< 0.01	34	5	0.059	0.167	< 0.01	0.704
	CAGTGCAAAAAGAGGGCAGAG														
MOCH5 ¹	CCAAATGGGGTCATTGTTGAGA	(CA) ₇ TACATA(CA) ₅	196-20 4	0.26	56	3	0.036	0.275	< 0.01	37	3	0.054	0.237	< 0.01	0.825
	GCATTGTAITTTACAGAGG														
APH08 ²	AAAGCCCTGTGAAGCGA	(CA) ₁₂	110-11 8	0.12	37	4	0.081	0.469	< 0.01	22	2	0.136	0.201	0.14	0.669
	TGTGTGTGCATCTGGGTGTGT														
MOCF12	CAAAGCTTCCTCCCTCACTCC	(GT) ₉	194	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	AGCTTCCTGTGGCATAAGCAT														
MM3	AAGTACATGTAAAAGCTGAAATTGC	(CA) ₁₆	231	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	TTCCTGATAAAAAGGAAATGC														
Overall					72	2.85 ±2.19	0.067	0.249		2.57 ±1.52	0.104	0.184		0.652	

ng/ μ l). Most avian genetics studies that employ noninvasive sampling use feathers (Segelbacher, 2002; Presti *et al.*, 2013), although a few have used eggshell membranes (Trimbos *et al.*, 2009), eggshell powder by surface abrasion (Egloff *et al.*, 2009), or eggshell swabbing (Martin-Galvez *et al.*, 2011).

Of the 27 microsatellite loci tested (Table S1), amplification occurred in seven (MOCC3, MOCD4, MOCF12, MOCH3, MOCH5, Aph08, MM03), with amplicons ranging from 110 to 254 bp (Table 1). MOCF12 (allele 194) and MM03 (allele 231) were found to be monomorphic. The loci Aph08 and MM03 were sequenced to confirm the microsatellites. In the pairwise locus analyses, none showed a linkage disequilibrium. Sixty-five percent of the invasive samples and 50 percent of the noninvasive samples were successfully amplified for seven loci, of which five exhibited polymorphisms with two to six alleles. Our results showed lower amplification success for heterologous markers (22.2%) vs. species-specific loci (27.7%). Mutations in flanking regions or disruptions within tandem-repeated elements may lead to failure of amplification and reduced levels of polymorphism in cross amplification (Moodley *et al.*, 2015). Accordingly, only one heterologous marker showed success of amplification in Brazilian Merganser, therefore development of specific markers allowed access genetic diversity with success. These markers will allow to assess genetic diversity in wild populations and in distinct kinds of samples.

Previous studies with noninvasive samples found that these can result in low-quality DNA that may even be contaminated with PCR inhibitors (Horváth *et al.*, 2005; Presti *et al.*, 2013), thus hindering genotyping (Taberlet *et al.*, 1996; Mukesh *et al.*, 2011). We minimized the possibility of genotyping errors by running each sample at least twice and by testing the occurrence of allele dropout in MICRO-CHECKER. No sign of allele dropout was detected in any of the loci. However, it showed the possibility of null alleles for some loci (Table 1) due to an excess of homozygotes, where all loci from noninvasive samples showed an HWE bias, as well as three loci from invasive samples. This excess of homozygotes could also be consequence of inbreeding, given that the population is small and apparently isolated (FIS 0.66).

Genetic diversity (Table 1) did not differ significantly between invasive and noninvasive samples (Mann-Whitney $Z(u) = 0.31$, $p = 0.37$), with mean allelic number 2.51 (± 1.52) and 2.85 (± 2.19), and observed heterozygosity of 0.067 and 0.104 for noninvasive and invasive samples, respectively (Table 1). The Brazilian Merganser showed low allelic richness (1 to 6 alleles; mean = 3.71) when compared to its sister species, the least concern *M. merganser* (1 to 15 alleles per locus, mean = 6.88); Gautschi and Koller (2005), with the caveat that the panel used in these studies did not include the same loci.

In conclusion, the results of the present study should encourage the practice of extracting maternal DNA from eggshells found in the field, even if deposited several days before sampling. However, the panel of microsatellite markers used in this study showed some limitations, such as low polymorphisms and successful of amplification in only about 50% of the samples. Despite these limitations, the microsatellites revealed low genetic diversity in the critically endangered Brazilian Merganser. Furthermore, these new markers should allow to assess the genetic diversity in other wild populations with higher samples size, and consequently will be of help in management and protection decisions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by FAPEMIG (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais), CNPq, CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), Petrobras, and Fundação de Boticário de Proteção à Natureza. We also thank Livia Lins from the Instituto Terra Brasilis for collecting samples. We thank Dr. John M. Pearce (Alaska Science Center, USGS) for kindly sending us the primers for the heterologous loci. We appreciate the improvements in English usage provided by Caitlin Stern through the Association of Field Ornithologists' program of editorial assistance. The study is in compliance with all current Brazilian laws.

References

- Barbará T, Palma-Silva C, Paggi GM, Bered F, Fay MF and Lexer C (2007) Cross-species transfer of nuclear microsatellite markers: Potential and limitations. *Mol Ecol* 16:3759-3767.
- Beebe T and Rowe G (2008) *An Introduction to Molecular Ecology*. Oxford Press, Oxford, 400 p.
- Beja-Pereira A, Oliveira R, Alves PC, Schwartz MK and Luikart G (2009) Advancing ecological understandings through technological transformations in noninvasive genetics. *Mol Ecol Resour* 9:1279-1301.
- Billotte N, Lagod P, Risterucci AM and Baurens FC (1999) Microsatellite-enriched libraries: Applied methodology for the development of SSR markers in tropical crops. *Fruits* 54:277-288.
- Brinkman TJ, Schwartz MK, Person DK, Pilgrim KL and Hundertmark KJ (2010) Effects of time and rainfall on PCR success using DNA extracted from deer fecal pellets. *Conserv Genet* 11:1547-1552.
- Buchholz WG, Pearce JM, Pierson BJ and Scribner KT (1998) Dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms in waterfowl (family Anatidae): Characterization of a sex-linked (Z-specific) and 14 autosomal loci. *Anim Genet* 29:323-325.
- Bush KL, Vinsky MD, Aldridge CL and Paszkowski CA (2005) A comparison of sample types varying in invasiveness for use in DNA sex determination in an endangered population of greater Sage-Grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*). *Conserv Genet* 6:867-870.
- Egloff C, Labrosse A, Hebert C and Crump D (2009) A nondestructive method for obtaining maternal DNA from avian

- eggshells and its application to embryonic viability determination in herring gulls (*Larus argentatus*). *Mol Ecol Resour* 9:19-27.
- Gautschi B and Koller B (2005) Polymorphic microsatellite markers for the goosander (*Mergus merganser*). *Mol Ecol Notes* 5:133-134.
- Horváth MB, Martínez-Cruz B, Negro JJ, Kalmár L and Godoy JA (2005) An overlooked DNA source for non-invasive genetic analysis in birds. *J Avian Biol* 36:84-88.
- Hoshino AA, Bravo JP, Nobile PM and Morelli KA (2012) Microsatellites as tools for genetic diversity analysis. In: Caliskan M (ed) *Genetic Diversity in Microorganisms*. InTech Open, Rijeka, pp 149-170.
- Maak S, Wimmers K, Weigend S and Neumann K (2003) Isolation and characterization of 18 microsatellites in the Peking duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and their application in other waterfowl species. *Mol Ecol Notes* 3:224-227.
- Martin-Galvez D, Peralta-Sanchez JM, Dawson DA, Martin-Platero AM, Martinez-Bueno M, Burke T and Soler JJ (2011) DNA sampling from eggshell swabbing is widely applicable in wild bird populations as demonstrated in 23 species. *Mol Ecol Resour* 11:481-493.
- Moodley Y, Masello JF, Cole TL, Calderon L, Munimanda GK, Thali MR, Alderman R, Cuthbert RJ, Marin M, Massaro M, *et al.* (2015) Evolutionary factors affecting the cross-species utility of newly developed microsatellite markers in seabirds. *Mol Ecol Resour* 15:1046-1058.
- Mukesh M, Mandhan R and Sathyakumar S (2011) Comparison of different parameters of invasive and noninvasive sampling methods for microsatellite genotyping: A case study from Red Junglefowl and its application for other Galliformes. *J Res Biol* 1:38-43.
- Peakall R and Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic Analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research - An update. *Bioinformatics* 28:2537-2539.
- Pearce JM, Fields RL and Scribner KT (1997) Nest materials as a source of genetic data for avian ecological studies. *J Field Ornithol* 68:471-481.
- Presti FT, Meyer J, Antas PTZ, Guedes NMR and Miyaki CY (2013) Non-invasive genetic sampling for molecular sexing and microsatellite genotyping of Hyacinth Macaw (*Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus*). *Genet Mol Biol* 36:129-133.
- Raymond M and Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP: Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J. Hered* 86:248-249.
- Sambrook J, Russel D and Sambrook J (2001) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Santos DO, Moreira LR, Tonhati H and Caparroz R (2012) Isolation and characterization of ten novel microsatellite loci in the Red-winged Tinamou (*Rhynchotus rufescens*, Tinamiformes, Aves) and cross-amplification in other tinamous. *Mol Biol Rep* 39:4837-4840.
- Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nat Biotech* 18:233-234.
- Segelbacher G (2002) Noninvasive genetic analysis in birds: Testing reliability of feather samples. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2:367-369.
- Taberlet P, Griffin S, Goossens B, Questiau S, Manceau V, Escaravage N, Waits LP and Bouvet J (1996) Reliable genotyping of samples with very low DNA quantities using PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24:3189-3194.
- Temnykh S, DeClerck G, Lukashova A, Lipovich L, Cartinhour S and McCouch S (2001) Computational and experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Frequency, length variation, transposon associations, and genetic marker potential. *Genome Res* 11:1441-1452.
- Trimbos KB, Broekman J, Kentie R, Musters CJM and de Snoo GR (2009) Using eggshell membranes as a DNA source for population genetic research. *J Ornithol* 150:915-920.
- Untergasser A, Nijveen H, Rao X, Bisseling T, Geurts R and Leunissen JAM (2007) Primer3Plus, an enhanced web interface to Primer3. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35:W71-W74.
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM and Shipley P (2004) Micro-checker: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:535-538.
- Vilaça ST, Redondo RAF, Lins LV and Santos FR (2012) Remaining genetic diversity in Brazilian Merganser (*Mergus octosetaceus*). *Conserv Genet* 13:293-298.
- Vynne C, Baker MR, Breuer ZK and Wasser SK (2012) Factors influencing degradation of DNA and hormones in maned wolf scat. *Anim Conserv* 15:184-194.
- Waits LP and Paetkau D (2005) Noninvasive genetic sampling tools for wildlife biologists: A review of applications and recommendations for accurate data collection. *J Wildlife Manage* 69:1419-1433.
- Wulsch C, Waits LP and Kelly MJ (2014) Noninvasive individual and species identification of jaguars (*Panthera onca*), pumas (*Puma concolor*) and ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*) in Belize, Central America, using cross-species microsatellites and faecal DNA. *Mol Ecol Resour* 14:1171-1182.

Internet Resources

- BirdLife International (2015). *Mergus octosetaceus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, <http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/species/factsheet/226804> 82 (accessed 28 January, 2016).

Supplementary Material

The following online material is available for this article:
Table S1 - The 27 loci tested for amplification in this study.

Associate Editor: Louis Bernard Klaczko

License information: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (type CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited.